

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United 5 Acc, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COUNTY SIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPI-ICATEON NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/672,635 | 09/28/2000 | Gary Dan Dotson | 00AB148 | 8217 | |
| 7590 11/06/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Allen-Bradley Company Inc | | | NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T | | |
| Attention: John Patent Dept/704 | | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1201 South Second Street | | | 2674 | 14 | |
| Milwaukee, W | I 53204 | | DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003 | DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | (8) | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 26 | | | | |
| | 09/672,635 | DOTSON, GARY | DOTSON, GARY DAN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Kimnhung Nguyen | 2674 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDON | timely filed ays will be considered timelom the mailing date of this constant (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,14 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>4,8-13,15-20 and 24-27</u> is/are objecte | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | : | | | | | |
| | | aminer | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(I Patent Application (PT0 | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/672,635

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

This Application has been examined. The original claims 1-27 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 14 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tjandrasuwita et al. (US patent 5,422,654) in view of Santilli (US patent 5,673,361).

Tjandrasuwita et al. disclose in figure 4 that a video controller (c) for interfacing a frame buffer to a dual scan display (120) having a adjacent first (116) and a second display portions (114) with a display boundary there between, the video controller comprising a raster engine (including CRT, 102, 104, 112, 108, 110, and dual display 120) adapted to receive video data from the frame buffer to format the video data and render the formatted data to the dual display (see column 4, lines 47-63). However, Tjandrasuwith et al. do not disclose a hardware cursor adapted to selectively over a cursor image onto at least one of the first and second display, and first and second data paths respectively associated with the first and second display portions. Santilli disclose in figure 1, a

Application/Control Number: 09/672,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

computer keyboard pointing device comprising a display (11) having a hardware cursor (19) adapted to selectively overlay a cursor image onto the upper right quadrant of the display area 22, and the lower right quadrant of the display area 24 (see figure 1, column 5, lines 40-47). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of using the hardware cursor onto the upper right quadrant of the display area 22, and the lower right quadrant of the display area 24 as taught by Santilli into the first and second display as taught by Tjandrasuwita with et al. because this would provide the changing of the pixel value to make the cursor appear on the video display monitor without requiring the video controller software to perform the data manipulations and transfers for the cursor.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 8-13, 15-20, and 24-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the cited art teaches or suggests that a video controller for interfacing a frame buffer to a dual scan display, wherein the hardware cursor comprises a first portion of the cursor image into the first data path of the raster engine according to the comparison of the first vertical counter value with the first cursor start address and the first cursor

Application/Control Number: 09/672,635

Art Unit: 2674

portion height value and the comparison of the horizontal counter value with the cursor column start value and the cursor image width value, or the first portion of the cursor image comprises comparing a first vertical counter value in the raster engine with a first cursor start address and a first cursor portion height value in the hardware cursor; and comparing a horizontal counter value in the raster engine with a cursor column start value and cursor image width value in the hardware cursor as now claimed.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/672,635

Art Unit: 2674

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen October 28, 2003

> RICHARD WARPE SUPERVICINY FATERI EXPLICITER TECHNOLICEY CENTRE ZOLIC